Is The Roman Catholic Church The True Church?

Over 1 billion people in our day believe Roman Catholicism is the one true church founded by the Lord Jesus Christ and built upon Peter (the bishop of Rome), the first pope. The focus of that teaching comes from Mt. 16:18,19, but is that really what it is saying?

> Simon Peter answered, "You are the Christ, the Son of the living God." Jesus replied, "Blessed are you, Simon son of Jonah, for this was not revealed to you by man, but by my Father in heaven. And I tell you that you are Peter, and on this rock I will build my church, and the gates of Hades will not overcome it. I will give you the keys of the kingdom of heaven; whatever you bind on earth will be bound in heaven, and whatever you loose on earth will be loosed in heaven." (Mt. 16:16-19)

Fact 1: "Peter" (*petros* in Greek is **masculine**), while "rock" (*petra* is **feminine**). Also, *petros* means a stone or boulder, while *petra* means a mass of rock, **which is much different.** That alone shows Peter could not be the "rock" upon which the church was built.

So what is the "rock"? It goes back to Peter's confession about Jesus' identity - "You are the Christ, the Son of the living God", which was revealed to him by the heavenly Father. The "rock" is (the truth that) Jesus is the Christ, the Messiah, and the son of the living God. Fact 2: Peter was *not* uniquely given the "keys of the kingdom of heaven" (Mt. 16:19). Those "keys" are for binding and loosing, which the other disciples also had the ability to do:

I tell you the truth, whatever you bind on earth will be bound in heaven, and whatever you loose on earth will be loosed in heaven. (Mt. 18:18)

That message was spoken to the "disciples" [plural] (Mt. 18:1). Hence, **Peter did not uniquely get the** "**keys**". Those undeniable facts *negate* the solitary, authoritative claim Rome has given itself, but there is even more to note.

Fact 3: **If Peter were made the head of the apostles, why didn't the other apostles know it?** Such is apparent as they were arguing amongst themselves over who was the greatest (Lk. 9:46; 22:24)? Why didn't Jesus correct them by saying that he made Peter the greatest, if he really had? Even Peter viewed himself as a fellow *elder*, like those he addressed (1 Pet. 5:1); the apostle John addressed himself in the same manner (2 John 1).

Fact 4: Why didn't Peter preside over the important Jerusalem Church Council related to *salvation* for the Gentiles, if he uniquely had the "keys" and was made the "rock" upon which Jesus would build his church (Acts 15:6-30)?

Fact 5: Why is Peter only one of the reputed "pillars" instead of being *the only one* or, at the very least, the

pillar listed first? The order is "James, Peter and John" (Gal. 2:9) showing the other two men are at least equal in authority to Peter, further debunking Peter's primacy.

Facts 6: How could Paul not be behind the chiefest of the apostles (2 Cor. 12:11), if Peter was indeed the chief and visible head of the church?

Fact 7: How could Jesus state **John the Baptist was the** *greatest* **born of woman** (Mt. 11:11), implying that John was greater that Peter (and Mary)?

Fact 8: The Apostle Paul openly opposed the Apostle Peter because Peter was in the wrong (Gal. 2:11-14). Paul would never have openly opposed the Apostle Peter if he were the supreme, visible head of the church, while Paul himself occupied a lesser church office than Peter. This single incident alone also shows it is impossible for the Apostle Peter to have been the head of the church.

Fact 9: Peter was a married man (Mt. 8:14; 1 Cor. 9:5); so, why don't the popes, if they share in the same office, have a wife like Peter did?

Facts 10: There is no church office of Pope.

Therefore, the alleged *papacy* and papal infallibility itself are mere empty claims. The real church offices are: *apostles, prophets, evangelists, pastors and teachers* (Eph 4:11), but no *pope* anywhere in the New Testament.

Nor is there any scriptural evidence of subsequent popes after Peter (known as *apostolic succession*). Ordaining others via the laying on of hands could not have applied to such a non-existent church office. This statement also includes Pope Boniface VIII and his famous *Unam Sanctam* decree of 1302. That Ex Cathedra (infallible) decree stating that **one must be in submission to the Roman Pontiff in order to have salvation** is meaningless, as are the other **100+ anathemas that the Council of Trent** (1545 to 1563) **has placed on all non-Catholics.**

Fact 11: How could "Pope" Honorius I (625-638), after his death, be both *anathematized* as and branded as a *heretic* by a different Catholic Pope, at one of their own church councils, the Third Council of Constantinople in 680, the thirteenth and sixteenth sessions? How could the College of Cardinals elect Honorius I in the first place to be their pope, if they were led by the Holy Spirit? Fact 12: If Peter really were a Pope like those in our hour (Pope John Paul II, etc.), how could Peter have neglected to mention Mary in both of his epistles (1, 2 Peter), as well as, in *all* of his salvation sermons cited in the Book of Acts? FYI: Pope John Paul II's personal motto was "I am completely yours, Mary", and he frequently spoke of her, which is extremely typical for all popes! Folks, **Peter was right; Mary has absolutely no role in our salvation whatsoever. Remember Luke 11:27-28.**

The Roman Catholic church declares itself to be "The Church" with the ultimate, spiritual authority of the one true church, but its claims are completely unfounded and they even violently clash with God's word. The evidence shows the Catholic magisterium is untrustworthy and has lethally misled multitudes of precious sincere souls, who have believed their teachings and their "approved" Marian apparitions. It remains the sincere Godly desire of multitudes of Christians, especially ex Catholics, for Catholics to let the New Testament inform them. We must all turn from sin and place 100% of our trust and faith in the PRECIOUS Lord Jesus Christ alone for our soul's salvation. Folks, faithfully and unashamedly follow and serve Jesus till death to enter God's kingdom (Acts 20:21 cf. 26:20; Lk. 9:26; Heb. 3:14). Such is the true saving faith in the PRECIOUS Lord Jesus, which will get you into Paradise after death.

The sacraments, Mary, and being a Catholic cannot save anyone. Final spiritual authority is the scriptures (the Bible); God's written word is the Christian's spiritual authority (2 Tim. 3:16-17). Scripture shows one must sincerely turn from idols and other sins (1 Cor. 6:9-11; Eph. 5:5-7; Gal. 5:19-21; Rev. 21:8; etc.) and obediently follow Jesus in order to have eternal life in God's kingdom and escape the lake of fire (Lk. 8:21; 2 Cor. 7:10; 1 Thess. 1:9; Lk. 11:27,28; 1 John 5:12,13; Rev. 20:15; etc.). Jesus is our true "life, sweetness and hope"- not Mary, as openly declared in the Rosary - yet another violation of Jesus' teachings (Mt. 6:6-8). Remember you are 100% safe to go by the Bible alone. Don't take a chance with your SOUL. This is for ETERNITY. [Pass this on to another.]

Evangelical Outreach, P. O. Box 265, Washington, PA 15301 * EOM IN.org (English) // DBN-MIN.net (Spanish/International)